

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	√O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,883		01/20/2004	Karl Peng	· -	1882	
37233	7590	06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
KARL P	· -	T CID CI E	ELKINS, GARY E			
	ACHLIGHT CIRCLE ART UNIT PAPER NUM ART UNIT PAPER NUM				PAPER NUMBER	
				3727		
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				E			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/707,883	PENG, KARL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary E. Elkins	3727				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	S			
WHI - Extended after aft	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commut BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2006 & 24 February	<u>′ 2006</u> .				
′=	, 	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applica	tion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a confidence of the second and a confidence of the second and second are second as a confidence of the	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je			
Attachme	ent(s)						
1) 🔯 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
· =	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) promation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>'</u>)			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 24 February 2006 is acknowledged. Non-elected claims 9-14 have been cancelled.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the last line of section [0036] of the specification, the word "tap" appears to be misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-8 are unclear with respect to what is being claimed. The preambles of each claim indicate that a container is claimed. However, in claim 1, lines 3 and 4, "can be expanded" indicates that the container has not yet been formed, i.e. that the blank is being claimed. If the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,883 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

container is claimed, then the claim should define that the four side panels --- are expanded---.

Also, in claim 1, lines 8, 9, 12 and 13, "for attaching" and "is to be attached" is defining the tape as not yet being a part of the container, i.e. the claim appears to be defining a container and a separate piece of tape rather than a composite of the two, i.e. if a container including a piece of tape is being claimed as indicated by the preambles, then the tape would be attached at least to the first surface.

The following each lack antecedent basis in the claims: claim 1, lines 6 and 11-13, "the folding lines", "the fabrication process of said container" (two occurrences) and "the assembling and sealing process".

In each of claims 2 and 5, line 4, "approximately extends to the longitudinal direction" is unclear, i.e. does this mean extends *in* the longitudinal direction?

In claim 7 and 8, "a flap", "another flap", "a flap" and "a side panel" are each a double inclusion of an element, i.e. the element is being reintroduced into the claims. Previously defined elements within a claim must be referenced by use of, e.g. ---one of said flaps---, --- another of said flaps---, etc. for clarity within the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kim (figs 1-4 emb).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,883 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (figs. 1-4 emb) in view of Ames (fig. 5 emb). Kim discloses all structure of the claimed container except formation of the third zone with no adhesive and, with respect to claim 4, a tab at one end of the third zone. Ames teaches that it is known to make the middle third zone without adhesive, i.e. without using a tearing band (14 in Kim) bonded to the third zone on a three zone sealing tape and using a pull tab (15 in Ames) at the end of the tape. It would have been obvious to make the tape in Kim with a middle zone without using a tear band or adhesive and, with respect to claim 4, to make the tape with a pull tab at the end as taught by Ames since elimination of the middle adhesive and attached tear band makes the tape easier to produce and cheaper and inclusion of a pull tab makes the middle section easier to grasp for removal.
- 9. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (figs. 1-4 emb) in view Ames (fig. 4 emb). Kim discloses all structure of the claimed container except formation of the third zone with no adhesive and delimiting the middle third zone using breaking-off lines. Ames teaches that it is known to make the middle third zone without adhesive, i.e. without using a tearing band (14 in Kim) bonded to the third zone on a three zone sealing tape and using scored breaking lines (17 in Ames) to weaken the tape for tearing. It would have been obvious to make the tape in Kim with a middle zone without using a tear band

Art Unit: 3727

or adhesive and to substitute breaking-off lines or score lines for the perforation lines in Kim as taught by Ames since elimination of the middle adhesive and attached tear band makes the tape easier to produce and cheaper and the use of score lines as opposed to perforation cut lines precludes accidental tearing during shipment, etc.

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (figs. 1-4 emb) in view of Killeffer (fig. 3 emb). Kim discloses all structure of the claimed container except securement of the tape to the side wall of a container and being adapted to be secured to a closure flap. Killeffer teaches that it is known to secure the closure flaps of box using tape applied to both the center section between the flaps and along the adjacent side walls and closure flaps. It would have been obvious to seal the box of Kim by applying the tape along both the center section of the closure flaps and along the adjacent side walls and closure flaps as taught by Killeffer to provide a tighter seal along all the exposed corners or seams of the closure flaps.

Conclusion

The remaining cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (571)273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. Also, copies of an office action or other file information may be obtained from the Private PAIR system. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (571)272-4537. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse can be reached at (571)272-4544.

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

Árt Unit 3727

gee

29 May 2006